

[226] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.276 of 2020
Date of Decision :27.09.2022

Lalita ...Petitioner

versus

Anil Hooda, Principal, Chief Conservator,
Forest, Sector 6, Panchkula and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Jai Shree Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, Asstt. AG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 04.09.2019, in CWP No.16013 of 2016 in case titled as '**Lalita**versus**State of Haryana and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.16013 of 2016 was disposed of by taking note of the stand that request of the petitioner for regularizing the service of her late husband w.e.f. 01.10.2003 had already been accepted by the competent authority and the Divisional Forest Officer, Sonapat, would pass an appropriate order granting the said benefit to the late husband of the petitioner within seven days and further release all consequential benefits to the petitioner within two months, thereafter.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that

released to the petitioner and that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

27.09.2022

'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>