

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1356-2020 (O & M)

Date of decision: 21.09.2022

Sukhpreet Singh @ Sukh

..... Petitioner

V/s

The State of Punjab and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, AAG, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of the DDR No.23 dated 19.12.2018 under Sections 323, 341, 34 IPC registered at Police Station City Rawalpindi, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1) in FIR No.84 dated 18.12.2018 registered under Sections 341, 323, 34 IPC at Police Station City Rawalpindi, District Kapurthala and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the compromise dated 25.12.2019 (Annexure P-3) effected between the parties.

On 27.02.2020, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for more time to comply with the previous order dated 14.01.2020.

No justification.

However, in the interest of justice last opportunity is granted to the petitioner to comply with the order dated 14.01.2020, subject to costs of Rs.10,000/- more, besides earlier costs of Rs.20,000/-, totaling Rs.30,000/-, out of which Rs.15,000/- is to be deposited with the Poor Patients' Welfare Fund of the Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), Chandigarh and Rs.15,000/- with the Welfare Centre for Persons with Speech and Hearing Impairment, Chandan Nagar, Sector 15,

Phase-2, Behind ITI, Gurugram, Bank Account No.000434003000357, the Gurgaon Central Co-operative Bank Ltd. or Bank, Account No.1245010000518, United Bank of India. He can also opt to deposit the aforesaid amount of Rs.15,000/- with the PGIMER online through its website “www.pgimer.edu.in”.

Adjourned to 16.04.2020.

To be shown in urgent list.

In case of non-compliance of this order and previous order dated 14.01.2020, in their letter and spirit, this petition would entail dismissal for want of prosecution.”

Thereafter, on 13.07.2022, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner because of which the matter stood adjourned.

None appears today once again on behalf of the petitioner.

The learned counsel for the State submits that the order 14.01.2020 and 27.02.2020 passed by this Court has not been complied with.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

September 21, 2022
sukhpreet

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No