

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(266)

CWP-1054-2020

Date of Decision : October 12, 2022

Renu

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. Advocate General,
Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

No one appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner is challenging the order dated 27.12.2019 (Annexure P-10) by which, the claim of the petitioner for re-adjustment as Extension Lecturers in the subject of Mathematics has been rejected on the ground that there is no workload in the Women College, Jind. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that though the said claim was rejected but after the passing of the said order, another policy has been issued by the State of Haryana dated 04.03.2020, which has been further amended on 11.05.2022 with regard to the re-adjustment of the Extension Lecturers, who were relieved and in case, the petitioner consider herself to be eligible for re-

adjustment under the said policy and any such claim is made by the petitioner by filing representation, the same will be considered in accordance with law by passing an appropriate order within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim and in case, the claim is found meritorious, appropriate relief will be given to the petitioner.

Keeping in view the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent to raise appropriate claim for re-adjustment as Extension Lecturer under the policy dated 04.03.2020 as amended on 11.05.2022.

October 12, 2022*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No