

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

212

CRWP No. 246 of 2022
Date of Decision: 20.01.2022

Farah and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. M.S. Hussain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sarfraj Anjum, Advocate for respondents No. 4 to 7.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU J.

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution seeking direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to provide protection to the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent Nos.4 to 7.

It has been averred that petitioner No.1 is aged about 26 years and petitioner No.2 is aged about 29 years. The date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 01.01.1996 while date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 01.01.1993. Copies of Aadhar Cards of petitioner Nos.1 & 2 to this effect are attached as Annexures P-1 and P-2 respectively.

Petitioners solemnized marriage on 05.01.2022 as per Muslim Rites and ceremonies at Jama Madina Masjid, C-block, Mdanpur Khadar Ext.Opp. J.J. Colony, New Delhi. The copy of the marriage certificate is annexed as Annexure P-3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that though it is

the first marriage of petitioner No.1, however, it is the second marriage of petitioner No.2. From the first marriage of petitioner No.2 he has four children. His four children and wife are residing with the parents of petitioner No.2. He states that the private respondents, who are relatives of his first wife of petitioner No.2 have threatened the petitioners to dire consequences, therefore, the petitioners apprehend danger to their lives at the hands of private respondents. In this regard, the petitioners have submitted representation dated 08.01.2022 (Annexure P-4) to respondents No.2 seeking protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of the private respondents.

After hearing counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to look into the said representation with regard to safeguarding life and liberty of the petitioners and take necessary action as may be necessary, in accordance with law.

It is clarified that this order is limited to protection of life and liberty of the petitioners. It does not and cannot confer immunity to petitioner No.2 from any legal action at the hands of private respondents and their rights/obligations/responsibilities arising out of his subsisting marriage

**[HARINDER SINGH SIDHU
JUDGE**

January 20, 2022

rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no