

**227 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-409-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20th December, 2022

Kuldip Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Lovepreet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. P.P.S. Tung, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, AAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This petition was filed seeking directions to respondent No. 3 Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur to decide the representations dated 5th December, 2019 filed by wife of the petitioner and 12th September, 2019. Further directions were sought for respondents No.2 and 4 not to harass the petitioner at the instance of respondent No.5.

2. From the pleadings, it is forthcoming that there is a matrimonial dispute of the son of the petitioner. State counsel had filed reply dated 4th October, 2021, wherein it is stated that daughter-in-law of the petitioner got registered an FIR No. 98, dated 24th September, 2019, under Section 67-A Information Technology Act, 2000 and Section 354-A of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sadar, Banga, District SBS Nagar and the case is pending. It is further pleaded that the representation filed by wife of the petitioner was considered and filed after inquiry.

3. In view of the reply filed, no further directions are called for, the petition is disposed of.

4. Needless to say that the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievance, if any.
5. Since the main case is disposed of, pending applications, if any, rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

20th December, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No