

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205-2

CRM-M-1012 of 2022

Date of Decision: March 16, 2022

Tadikamalla Nagendra Rao

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vinod Ghai, Sr. Advocate and
Mr. Puneet Bali, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Supriya Garg, Advocate;
Mr. Anandh Ventakaramani, Advocate;
Mr. Edward Augustine George, Advocate;
Ms. Kanika Ahuja, Advocate and
Ms. Kirti Ahuja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana

Mr. R.S. Rai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate;
Mr. Rajat Khanna, Advocate;
Mr. Anmol Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Dhruv Chowfla, Advocate
for the complainant

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties submits that matter has been compromised between the parties and process for filing separate quashing petition has also been initiated.

Given above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 11.01.2022 is made absolute.

In light of the compromise in the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for release of passport retained by the Investigating Agency.

Given above, the petitioner shall file application for release of the passports. It shall be released with an assurance from the petitioner that till the FIR is not quashed, the petitioner shall intimate the respondent about the travel plans.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

March 16, 2022
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: No