

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1428-2022

Date of decision: 24.03.2022

JAGDISH CHANDER

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. In FIR No.418 of 30.11.2021, registered at Police Station Dabwali Sadar, District Sirsa, offences constituted under Sections 323, 325, 506, of IPC (Sections 452, and, 34 of IPC are deleted), are embodied.

2. The offences (supra), are alleged to be committed by the bail petitioner. The learned State counsel on instructions meted to him, by ASI Ram Chand submits, that the bail petitioner has fully co-operated with the investigations, as underway into the FIR (supra), and, also submits, that he has ensured the recovery, at his instance, to the investigating officer concerned, of the incriminatory weapon of offence, as became allegedly used by him, for causing injuries to the victim. Furthermore, he also makes a submission before this Court, that the victim has fully recovered from the injuries, entailed upon his person, in sequel to his becoming allegedly assaulted by the bail petitioner.

3. The learned State counsel submits, that in view of the above, there may not be any necessity for subjecting the bail petitioner to custodial

interrogation. The above submission is well merited, as any order subjecting the bail petitioner to custodial interrogation, despite his meteing the fullest co-operation to the investigating officer concerned, would curtail, and, fetter his personal liberty.

4. In summa the order as made, by this Court, on 13.01.2022, is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

5. Disposed of.

24.03.2022

ithlesh

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No