

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1832-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.07.2022

Bhim @ Ankit @ Vivek

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. G.S. Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this 2nd petition is for grant of regular bail in FIR No.07 dated 15.02.2019 under Sections 302, 201, 34 IPC (Sections 202, 212, 216, 120-B IPC were added later on) and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station GRP, Jind; earlier one was dismissed as withdrawn on 12.11.2020.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that new ground for filing this second petition is the now the investigation is complete, challan stands presented and out of total 42 prosecution witnesses, only 10 PWs have been examined and nothing has come against the petitioner except his own disclosure statement and disclosure statement of co-accused and as on today, he is in custody for the last about 02 years and 07 months and is not involved in

any other case except one FIR under Section 153-A IPC.

Learned counsel further submits that as per allegations in the FIR, on 15.02.2019, an information was received that a dead body is lying along the railway track. Later on, statement of Bimla, mother of deceased Nitin @ Laddi was recorded, in which she raised a suspicion that his son has been murdered on account of rivalry. Later on, during investigation of the FIR, names of Ankit son of Mohinder and Balinder @ Undar @ Binder son of Gulab Singh were surfaced, who were arrested in another FIR No.157 dated 27.08.2019 under Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Pillukhera, District Jind. Their disclosure statement was recorded, in which that they stated that they took Nitin @ Laddi on motorcycle and shot him dead near railway line. Thereafter, both these accused were taken on production warrants and joined the investigation and suffered separate disclosure statements and nominated Rajiv @ Gabhu, Rakesh and Sonu, who gave them Rs.1.50 lacs and they along with another co-accused Parveen went to U.P. for purchasing the weapon and ammunition, where they met Bhim @ Ankit @ Vivek (petitioner) and purchased 30 live cartridges from him. On the basis of their further disclosure statement, another co-accused Rakesh, Rajiv @ Gabhu, Sonu Rathee and Parveen were also nominated and on their disclosure statement also, name of the petitioner as supplier of the firearm surfaced.

Learned counsel has referred to disclosure statement of all the accused, to submit that there is no consistency in the said statements. As per disclosure statement of co-accused Balinder Singh Binder @ Andru, co-accused purchased pistol and cartridges from one person namely Gautam and it came

that when the co-accused went to U.P., he purchased pistol and 30 live cartridges from Gautam, resident of Village Kheri Pati,, Shamli, U.P. Learned counsel has referred to another disclosure statement of this accused, in which he stated that accused went to U.P. to purchase 06 pistols and 30 bullets from Bhim resident of Bahavari, U.P.

Learned counsel has further referred to disclosure statement of accused Ankit, in which it has come that they purchased the firearms from one Sahil. It is thus submitted that it will be a matter of trial, whether co-accused have purchased the weapons from the petitioner or from some other person, as identity of the petitioner, who has sold the same, is yet to be proved. It is further submitted that there is no allegation that the petitioner was part of the conspiracy or he was present at the spot, when the co-accused committed murder of Nitin @ Laddi. It is also submitted that statement of mother of the deceased has already been recorded, in which no incriminating evidence has come against the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on the basis of affidavit of Inspector Devender Kumar, SHO, Police Station, GRP Jind, in which contents of the FIR and statement of mother of the deceased have been verified, has submitted that in successive disclosures made by the accused persons, all of them were arrested and they stated that they purchased the firearms along with cartridges from U.P. and in one of the statement of accused Balinder @ Ander @ Binder, name of the petitioner surfaced as the supplier of the firearms. Even in the disclosure statement of the petitioner, it has come that accused came to him from U.P., where he took the money in lieu of supplying the illegal weapons. It

is further stated that in the affidavit that since the petitioner along with other accused hatched conspiracy to commit the murder of Nitin @ Laddi, in furtherance of the money given by co-accused Rakesh and Rajiv @ Gabhu, Balinder and Ankit got the firearms from the petitioner, therefore, his involvement is made out in this case.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without commenting anything on merits of the case and going through the allegations against the petitioner as well as disclosure statements of co-accused and his own disclosure statement, it will be a matter of trial whether his identity is proved or not, as per evidence led by the prosecution and it will also be a matter of trial whether the petitioner was part of the conspiracy with other accused in committing the murder of Nitin @ Laddi, as in the disclosure statement of co-accused, only allegation is that they had purchased the weapon from the petitioner and it has not come in their disclosure statements that the petitioner was part of the conspiracy and also considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 02 years, 07 months and 01 day, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail subject to furnishing his bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

Petition is disposed of.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

20.07.2022
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No