

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

130

CWP-665-2022

Date of decision : 01.02.2022.

Jagbir

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking a direction to respondent No.1 to recommend his case for appointment as Junior Engineer (Electrical) in DHBVN.

Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been appointed for the post of Junior Engineer (Electrical) in HVPNL although he was eligible for appointment in DHBVN in terms of his merit as it was his first preference. He also contends that the petitioner has sent a legal notice dated 20.12.2021 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.1 and states that he would be satisfied if the petition be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the legal notice in a time bound manner.

Issue notice to respondents No.1 to 3 at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Shubhra Singh, Addl. A.G.,

Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and states that the legal notice is under consideration and would be decided shortly.

Without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the legal notice (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law within a period of three weeks from today.

It is clarified that if the petitioner is found eligible for appointment to DHBVN, the recommendation to this effect would be made by respondent No.1 to respondent No.2.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

February 01, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No