

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-2754-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 14.02.2022**

Karun Sharma

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Arun Takhi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, *inter alia*, for directing respondent Nos.1 & 2 to get an enquiry conducted into the conduct of respondent Nos.3 & 4.

Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that instead of pressing the writ petition on merits, his client would be satisfied at this stage, in case, legal notice dated 20.11.2021 (P-9) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 & 2. Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without adjudicating the controversy on merits, writ petition is disposed off with

direction to respondent No.1-Secretary, Department of Education, Punjab, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 20.11.2021 (P-9), in accordance with law by passing speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

14.02.2022
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No