

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.202

CRM-M-992 of 2022

Date of Decision: 15.02.2022

Bahadur Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr. Anurag Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amreek Singh Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

SANT PARKASH, J.

*[The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual court]*

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.09 dated 08.01.2019, registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC (Section 201 IPC added later on) at P.S. Dhand, District Kaithal.

On 12.01.2022, this Court passed the following orders:-

“Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 09 dated 08.01.2019, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (‘IPC’- for short) (Section 201 of the IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Dhand, District Kaithal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged that the petitioner had obtained a loan by submitting fabricated documents. He, however, contends that the petitioner has repaid the entire loan amount. He has referred to the copy of the ‘no dues certificate’ issued by the bank at Annexure P3.

Issue notice to the respondent.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

List on 15.02.2022.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the investigating/arresting officer and join investigation.

In the event of his arrest, the investigating/arresting officer shall release the petitioner on ad interim bail subject to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Upon instructions from SI Shamsheer Singh, learned State counsel submits that in compliance of the aforesaid orders, the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, order dated 12.01.2022 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

15.02.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No