

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(110-11)

CRWP-355-2022

Date of Decision : January 14, 2022

Manpreet Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Despite the fact that case was called twice, no one appears on behalf of the petitioner to address the arguments.

Present petition has been filed for the grant of protection to the petitioner, who is alleged to apprehend threat to his life and liberty at the hands of respondent No.4.

Though in the present petition, it has been mentioned that the incident, due to which the petitioner apprehends threat to his life and liberty, happened on 07.07.2020 whereas the present petition has been filed after a period of approximately one and half year. Further, in this petition, nothing has been mentioned as to whether or not, any remedy was explored by the petitioner after the alleged incident dated 07.07.2020.

From the pleadings, it transpires that the petitioner has raised the said grievance as raised in the present petition before the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Moga vide representation dated 11.10.2021 (Annexure P-1), which is still pending consideration with the said authority and the interest of justice will be served, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that representation dated 11.10.2021 (Annexure P-1) will be decided within a period of eight weeks, if not already decided.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 11.10.2021 (Annexure P-1), the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Moga to decide the representation dated 11.10.2021 (Annexure P-1), if not already decided, within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

January 14, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes
Whether reportable? : No