

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

215

CRM-M-1653-2022 (O & M)

Date of Decision:06.05.2022

SAJID ALI

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Vimal Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

CRM-14722-2022

Allowed as prayed for.

Order dated 06.09.2021 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Fast Track Special Court, for the offences under the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, (for short “the POCSO Act”), Gurugram, is taken on record as Annexure P-10.

Main Case

This is the first petition filed by the petitioner under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.80, dated 26.07.2021 registered for offence under Section 04 of the POCSO Act, however, Section 06 of POCSO Act was added and Section 04 of POCSO Act was deleted later on, and Section 506 of IPC, 1860, at Women Police Station West, Gurugram.

Custody certificate dated 05.05.2022 has been filed by the State, which is taken on record.

At the outset, counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner has been declared to be a child in conflict with law, he seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach the Competent Court under Section 12 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

06.05.2022
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No