

**CRM-M-1240-2022 and
CRM-M-1499-2022**

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-1240-2022
Date of Decision: 09.05.2022**

(I)
Saloni Saluja

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CRM-M-1499-2022

(II)
Saloni Saluja

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Gourav Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

Both these cases are taken up together for final disposal with the consent of the parties. In both the petitions the petitioner is the same namely Saloni Saluja but FIRs are different but on similar set of allegations.

CRM-M-1240-2022

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the

Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.202 dated 08.06.2020, under Sections 406, 420 IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act, registered at Police Station Indri, District Karnal, Haryana.

CRM-M-1499-2022

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.157 dated 12.06.2020, under Sections 406 and 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Mullana, District Ambala, Haryana.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is a lady and is in custody from 19.01.2021 in CRM-M-1499-2022 and from 28.05.2021 in CRM-M-1240-2022. He further submitted that it is a case where the allegations were against the petitioner were that she alongwith the other co-accused had taken money from the complainant for sending abroad. He further submitted that in fact there was no money transferred in the name of the petitioner and the other co-accused had taken money and amount was transferred in the name of Ravinder who is the co-accused. He further submitted that although after some time some of the amount was transferred in the name of the husband of the petitioner as per the State but be that as it may the petitioner had no role to play and she has been implicated only on the ground that she is the wife of one Raminder. He further submitted that be that as it may the petitioner is a lady and is facing incarceration for more than 1 year and there are about 25 cases against the petitioner in which the petitioner was arrayed as an accused falsely and the petitioner is already on regular bail in more than 20 cases out of the aforesaid cases.

On the other hand, Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana has stated that it is correct that the petitioner is in custody from 19.01.2021 in CRM-M-1499-2022 and from 28.05.2021 in CRM-M-1240-2022. He further submitted that it is also correct that the petitioner is also involved in about 25 more cases out of which she has been granted bail in 20 other cases.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is a lady and is in custody more than 1 year and as per the learned counsel for the parties the investigation in the present case has already been completed and challan has been presented. The case is triable by Magistrate. The petitioner has already been granted bail in 20 cases of similar nature. It appears that the case is based upon documentary evidence and trial of the case may take long time.

Therefore, without commenting on the merit of the case and considering the totality of circumstance, the both petitions are allowed. The petitioner in both the petitions shall be released on regular bail subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is meant for the purpose of deciding the present petitions only.

09.05.2022
rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No