

COCp-3287-2017

Date of Decision :25.05.2022

Sukhdev Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

Dr. K.K. Khandelwal

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Varun Veer Chauhan, Advocate,
for Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 24.07.2017, in CWP-14975-2017 in case titled as Sukhdev Singh and ors. vs. State of Haryana and ors.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-14975-2017 was disposed of vide order dated 24.07.2017 by directing respondent hereinto consider and decide legal notice dated 03.06.2017 within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that needful in terms of order Annexure P/1 dated 24.07.2017 has been done and petitioners No.2 to 5, 7, 8 and 10 to 18 have been promoted as per their entitlement, while as regards the remaining petitioners, their claim for promotion in terms of order Annexure P/1 has been rejected, therefore, the instant petition qua petitioners No.2 to 5, 7, 8 and 10 to 18

may be permitted to be withdrawn, while the remaining petitioners i.e.

petitioner Nos.1, 6, 9, and 19 be granted liberty to challenge orders dated 15.02.2022, 18.05.2022 and 03.03.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to petitioners No.1, 6, 9, and 19 to challenge orders dated 15.02.2022, 18.05.2022 and 03.03.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law qua denial of the benefits as claimed in terms of order, Annexure P/1 dated 24.07.2017 in CWP-14975-2017 in case titled as Sukhdev Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and others.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

25.05.2022
manju

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No