

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR-197-2022

Date of Decision: 02.02.2022

Anil Aggarwal @ Anil Kumar Gupta

....Petitioner

VS

Mohinder Singh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Aminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is the plaintiff. His suit for specific performance has been decreed and defendants appeal has been dismissed. In accordance with the decree, the balance sale consideration has been deposited before the Executing Court. Thereafter, an application was filed for investing the said amount in a fixed deposit. The application has been rejected on the ground that even if the amount is not invested in a fixed deposit, the decree holder is not adversely effected. The amount is to be paid to the JD and there is no provision for investing the same in a fixed deposit.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

02.02.2022

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No