

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

252

CRM-M-1777-2022  
Decided on : 21.04.2022

Urmila and others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

**PRESENT:** Mr. J. P. Jangu, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Manjeet Kundu, Advocate  
for respondent No. 2.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)**

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 69 dated 07.02.2018 under Section 379 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 3 of the Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act registered at Police Station Tosham, District Bhiwani (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising on the basis of the compromise.

In the present petition, only Mukesh Kumar has been made party as a complainant whereas, as per the report of the trial Court, even Dinesh son of Ram Parsad is stated to be a victim.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh petition after impleading all the relevant parties.

In view of the same, present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

**April 21<sup>st</sup>, 2022**  
*Mehak*

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |