

[121] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.52 of 2022

Date of Decision : 04.03.2022

Tarlok Singh ...Petitioner

versus

Rahul Bhandari, IAS and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Satpreet Grewal Kapila, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J.

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Prayer in the petition under Sections 11 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 17.11.2021 in CWP No.12342 of 2020.

[3] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No. 12342 of 2020 was disposed of as having become infructuous in view of the statement of the learned Senior DAG, Punjab, that computerized demarcation of Khasra No.45 which was a street and Khasra No.18/2, 100 situated in village Balrah would be conducted within 02 weeks.

[4] Today, learned AAG states that reply has already been filed, indicating therein that demarcation of Khasra No.45 and Khasra No.18/2, 100 situated in village Balrah has already been conducted. Copy of the

[5] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and the same may be disposed of as such, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge demarcation report (Annexure R-1) by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[6] I have considered the submissions of learned counsel. Although, notice has yet not been issued in the instant case yet needful has been done in compliance of orders dated 17.11.2021 in CWP No.12342 of 2020. Accordingly, in view of compliance of order dated 17.11.2021 in CWP No.12342 of 2020, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, no action is called for against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. Contempt petition is accordingly *disposed of* as such, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge demarcation report (Annexure R-1) by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

04.03.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>