

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(246)

CRM-M-1282-2022

Date of Decision : January 18, 2022

Jageer Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Avinash Mandla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in respect of FIR No.0119 dated 16.11.2021 registered under Sections 308, 323 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Makhu, District Ferozepur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though the allegations alleged against the petitioner are serious but keeping in view the fact that the challan has already been presented and the trial is likely to take some time before it concludes, the petitioner may kindly be extended the benefit of regular bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned Deputy Advocate General,

Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping

in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that keeping in view the report of the doctor, Section 308 of the IPC has been invoked against the petitioner and further that the complainant is yet to be examined and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, in case the petitioner is extended the concession of regular bail, it might influence the trial.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

The allegations alleged against the petitioner are such that he has inflicted serious injuries upon the complainant with an intention to kill him and the complainant is yet to be examined. Grant of bail to the petitioner at this stage is likely to influence the trial.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case especially when the complainant is yet to be examined, no ground is made out to grant the benefit of regular bail to the petitioner, at this stage.

Dismissed.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

January 18, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes

Whether reportable? : Yes