

215 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.1908 of 2022(O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.01.2022

Kala

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr. Aakash Juneja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab.

SANT PARKASH J. (ORAL)

[The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual court]

Petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in FIR No.276 dated 15.10.2021 registered under Section 20 of the NDPS Act at Police Station Lakhanmajra, Rohtak.

As per the allegations in the FIR, on 15.10.2021, upon receiving secret information, the police party apprehended the petitioner and recovered contraband i.e. 1kg and 910 grams of Ganja without any permit or license.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR. He further submits that the recovered contraband is just above minimum quantity. Investigation has already been completed and challan has already been filed and she is not required for further investigation. Thus, he prays for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner and submits that the present petition for grant of

regular bail to the petitioner be dismissed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the case file.

The recovery effected from the petitioner is 1 kg and 910 grams of Ganja which falls under medium quantity. The petitioner is in custody since 15.10.2021. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars. Moreover, conclusion of the trial will take some time keeping in view the prevailing pandemic situation.

Taking into consideration the totality of the circumstances and without commenting on the merits of the case, it is considered as appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail on her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

The petition is allowed.

20.01.2022
hemlata

(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No