

CRM-M-1503-2021

**215 THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-1503-2021
Date of Decision: 07.02.2022**

RAVINDER SINGH ALIAS BHINDA

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

(Through video conferencing)

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Prayer is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.0068 dated 10.08.2018 under Section 21/22 of NDPS Act 1985 and Sections 473, 379, 411 IPC 1860 added later on, registered at Police Station Valtaha, District Tarn Taran.

Reply by way of an affidavit dated 05.02.2022 of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Valtaha, Camp at Bhikhiwind District Tarn Taran on behalf of respondent-State has been filed through e-mail, the same is taken on record.

CRM-M-1503-2021

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is the third bail petition of the petitioner, the earlier two were dismissed as withdrawn/dismissed on 02.04.2019 and 24.11.2020. It is further submitted that recovery was effected from the co-accused Sher Singh, who was even declared a proclaimed offender at one stage, and that the petitioner has been in custody since 10.08.2018.

Learned State counsel while opposing the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the recovery was also effected from the petitioner and moreover, the petitioner is also involved in three more cases of similar nature and out of which, he stands convicted for three months in one case. In such circumstances, the petitioner is not entitled to the benefit of bail. In support of her contentions, she relies upon a judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Union of India Versus Niyazuddin Sk. & Anr. 2017(4) RCR Criminal 644.**

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

This is the third petition for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, the earlier two ones having been dismissed as withdrawn/dismissed on 02.04.2019 and 24.11.2020. The recovery of contraband has also been effected from the petitioner. There are three other cases of similar nature registered or pending against him, showing his tendency of committing repeated crime. The criminal antecedents of the habitual offender like the petitioner, which shatter the very tranquility of the collective, do not entitle him to be enlarged on bail. Still further, having had his complicity in the repeated offence of similar nature, the petitioner cannot seek the concession of regular bail, which of course, if granted

CRM-M-1503-2021

would pave a way to him to involve himself in another similar offence.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

07.02.2022
Amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No