

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

CRM-M-794-2022

Date of Decision :12.01.2022

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Arjun Veer Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as inadvertently all the facts required to press the prayer of the petitioner could not be incorporated in the present petition, the petitioner be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action with better particulars.

Ordered accordingly.

January 12, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No