

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1143 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24th May, 2022

Amit

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Pawan Attri, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Bikram Chaudhary, Advocate for the complainant.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(Oral)

CRM-18455 of 2022

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. The complainant is permitted to be impleaded as respondent No.2 in the main petition.

Main petition

This petition is filed for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 321 dated 18.11.2021, under Sections 323, 324, 34, 506 IPC and Sections 3(1)(s), 3(2) (iii), 3(2)(va) of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (Amendment Act, 2015), registered at Police Station Sadar Kaithal.

Interim anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner on the basis of parity with the co-accused Shamsheer.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from DSP-Sajjan Kumar submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and had co-operated. Further that order of the co-accused has not been challenged till date.

Learned counsel for the complainant opposes the prayer for

confirmation of anticipatory bail. He submits that co-ordinate Bench erred in granting interim bail to the co-accused, however, he is not in a position to distinguish the parity of the petitioner qua the co-accused. He is also not disputing the fact that the said order has not been challenged.

As custody of the petitioner is not needed, the interim bail granted is made absolute.

Disposed of accordingly.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

24th May, 2022

mk

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No