

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-648-2022
Date of decision : 30.03.2022

Malkit Singh and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, AAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, *inter alia*, for directing the respondents to consider and promote the petitioners from the post of Senior Assistant to the post Superintendent Grade-II.

Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioners, states that at this stage, instead of pressing the writ petition on merits, his clients would be satisfied in case, legal notice dated 21.09.2021 (P-7) is decided expeditiously.

Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without adjudicating the controversy on merits, writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.2-Director Public Instructions (S.E.), Punjab, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 21.09.2021 (P-7), in accordance with law by passing speaking order, within a period of 04 weeks

from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that in case there is a failure, petitioners would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival of present writ petition.

30.03.2022
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No