

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(255)

CRM-M-958-2020

Date of decision:- 20.05.2022

Sandeep Singh @ Kallu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Inder Pal Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Ravi Chadda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Prabhjot Singh Walia, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

None for respondents No.2 and 3.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No.249 dated 19.11.2019, registered for offences under Sections 363 and 366-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur, Annexure P-1, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise, Annexure P-2, arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the Trial Court that the parties have not appeared to record their statements in compliance of order passed by this Court. Petitioner is accused of enticing 15 years old sister of the complainant on the pretext of marriage.

Supreme Court in *The State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Laxmi Narayan and others 2019 (5) SCC 688* has held that heinous and serious offences cannot be quashed on the basis of compromise.

In view of the above, the petition cannot be entertained and is accordingly dismissed.

20.05.2022

Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No