

Yogesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Ramesh Chander Bidhan

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Prayer in the petition under Section 10 read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for taking action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of orders, Annexure P/1 dated 13.09.2021 in CWP No.18099 of 2021.

[3] A perusal of orders, Annexure P/1 dated 13.09.2021 reveals that CWP No.18099 of 2021 was disposed of by directing the competent authority to look into the grievance as contained in representation dated 10.08.2021 and also by keeping in view the contentions raised in the writ petition and by treating the same as supplementary representation and take a decision in respect thereto in accordance with law within three months and in case, a favourable order was passed, benefit thereof be accorded within 30 days thereafter.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondent to do the

needful.

[5] Pursuant to issuance of notice of motion, learned DAG has filed compliance report indicating therein that a decision was taken on the representation dated 10.08.2021 and the petitioner as per his request has been posted back to Ratia vide order, Annexure R/1 dated 04.03.2022.

[6] Compliance report along with order Annexure R/1 dated 04.03.2022 is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner who on perusal of the same states that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such.

[7] I have considered the submissions of learned counsel.

[8] Admittedly, order, Annexure R/1 dated 04.03.2022 has been passed in terms of order dated 13.09.2021 in CWP No. 18099 of 2021 and the petitioner has been posted back to Ratia.

[9] In the light of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondent.

[10] Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of as such.

[11] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

08.03.2022

'Amit'

*Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*