

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

241

CWP-634-2021

Date of Decision :10.11.2022

Urmila

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner, who was working as an Extension Lecturer was relieved from the service by the respondents on the ground that she was not eligible to hold the post and a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 03.02.2021 issued notice of motion and granted interim relief to the petitioner allowing her to continue in service.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, at the time when the petitioner's services were dispensed with by the respondents, the petitioner was not eligible but keeping in view the order passed in LPA No.814 of 2021 titled as **Amrit Kaur vs. State of Haryana and others**, the petitioner was still entitled to continue in service but in the interregnum, the petitioner already attained the required qualification as envisaged under the rules governing the service for appointment to the post of Lecturer, hence, the ground which was being taken by the respondents to relieve the

petitioner from service no longer exists.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that keeping in view the fact that petitioner has already got the Ph.D. Degree (Annexure P/21), she is now entitled to continue in service keeping in view the guidelines, which have been issued by the respondents on 04.03.2020.

Learned State counsel submits that the case of the petitioner will be considered again keeping in view the Instructions dated 04.03.2020 issued by the respondents as amended on 11.05.2022 and in case the claim of the petitioner is covered for continuity in service by the said instructions, the petitioner will be allowed to continue in service and if the claim is not covered, an appropriate order will be passed. Learned State counsel further submits that while passing a fresh order on the prayer of the petitioner for allowing her to continue in service, order passed by the Division bench of this Court in LPA No. 814-2021 will be kept in mind.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is alleging that she has already attained the required qualification as envisaged under the rules, till the appropriate order on the prayer of the petitioner, is passed by the respondents, the petitioner will be allowed to continue in service.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, no grievance of the petitioner survives in the present writ petition and the same may be disposed off having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

November 10, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No