

**229 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F)-55-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.04.2022

DEEPAK MEENA ... PETITIONER
VS.
DEEPTI MEENA .. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate, for the respondent.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

On the previous date of hearing i.e. 02.03.2022, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner. However, learned counsel for the respondent had contended that as per the instructions, the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled and marriage between the parties has been dissolved by a decree of divorce.

As none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 02.03.2022, the Registry was directed to inform the counsel for the petitioner about the date fixed in this Court.

As per the office report, learned counsel for the petitioner has been informed through e-notice.

Today, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner despite information sent through e-notice. Consequently, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

18.04.2022
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No