

171 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-532-2022

Date of Decision : 01.02.2022

Hardeep Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. At the outset, learned counsel contends that the petitioner has served legal notice Annexure P/9 dated 20.12.2021, on respondent No.3, in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the instant petition but no decision has been taken on the same till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim made in legal notice Annexure P/9 dated 20.12.2021, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion to respondent No.3 only.

4. Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3 and states that she has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim made in legal notice Annexure P/9 dated 20.12.2021, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within four weeks from today.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

01.02.2022