

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

244

CRM-M-1027-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.03.2022

SHAMO DEVI

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Pankaj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous as the petitioner has already preferred a quashing petition on the basis of compromise.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

16.03.2022

Aman Jain

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*