

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(104)

CWP No. 516 of 2019

Date of Decision : 19.09.2022

Kirti Takkar

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner has been granted 88 marks but she had raised the grievance with regard to the three questions. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though in the reply, it has been mentioned that the same were referred to the expert committee and the same have not been found in favour with the expert committee and the answer key has not been changed qua the said questions, hence the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents to seek the details as to on what account, the request of the petitioner qua the said questions has not been accepted by the expert committee.

Learned State counsel submits that in case any grievance is received by the petitioner, the same will be looked into in accordance with law and appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period of six weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

September 19, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No