

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

102

COCP No.103 of 2020

Date of Decision: 25.03.2022

Ajay Kumar Contractor

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Hussan Lal, IAS and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Arvind Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, A.A.G. Punjab for respondent No.3.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

At the outset, it has been pointed out that the order dated 27.08.2019 passed in CWP No.22636 of 2019 (Annexure P-2) has been complied with and a copy of Speaking Order dated 29.10.2019 passed in pursuance to the directions issued has been produced in Court, today.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the above, on instructions, he does not wish to press with the contempt petition any further.

Learned State counsel states that there is no objection to the above and respondent No.3 tenders unconditional apology for the procedural delay that has occurred in disbursing the amount determined by the order dated 29.10.2019, which was neither willful nor intentional.

A perusal of the record also reveals that notice has not been issued as yet.

I have considered the submissions of learned counsels and in view of the order dated 27.08.2019 (Annexure P-2) having been complied

COCP No.103 of 2020

with in spirit and the statement of the learned State counsel, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondents.

Contempt petition is accordingly disposed of as such.

Rule is discharged.

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

March 25, 2022
Sachin M.

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No