

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Writ Petition No. 209 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.01.2022**

Tanishka Devi and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Surmeet Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

[Through Video Conferencing]

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners, who are in a live-in relationship, by filing the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, are seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of a mandamus, hence directing respondent Nos. 2 & 3 to give protection to the life, and liberty, of the petitioners, and against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondent Nos. 4 to 9.

2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-3.

3. Consequently, this Court directs respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-3, through a speaking order.

4. Petition is disposed of.

5. However, before the authorities concerned, mete compliance

established, inter se, the co-petitioners, was a sequel to both acquiring the relevant age of majority. Moreover, the afore order is also subject to, the father of co-petitioner No. 1, not making any complaint with respect to his daughter, being abducted by the co-petitioner No. 2.

6. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

January 11, 2022
'dk kamra'

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>