

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-1903-2022 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 22.03.2022**

Sandeep @ Bhandu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present:- Mr. S.D. Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)**

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

**CRM-10489-2022**

Application is allowed as prayed for. Annexures A-1 and A-2  
are taken on record.

**Main case:**

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 385 dated 17.08.2020 registered under Section 25 of the Arms Act, Sections 379-B, 392, 397, 506 IPC and Sections 395, 412, 112 and 120-B IPC added later on, at Police Station Gohana City, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has  
falsely been implicated in the present case; the FIR was registered on the

complaint of Deepak Kumar; that the complainant and his brother Anil, while appeared as PW-1 and PW-2 on 18.08.2021, have not supported the prosecution version and declared hostile; that co-accused Ajay has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 10.09.2021 and that the petitioner has been in custody since 25.06.2021.

Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for bail. However, he does not dispute the custody period of the petitioner and that two material witnesses have turned hostile. He submits that prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 25.06.2021. Two material witnesses have turned hostile. The prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined. One co-accused have already been granted regular bail. Therefore, the conclusion of the trial would take time. Hence, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)**  
**JUDGE**

**22.03.2022**

*Mangal Singh*

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No