

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-37476-2022 in/and  
CRM-M-993-2022  
Date of decision: 11.10.2022**

Sandeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present:- Mr. Vinay Singh, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Anosh Samson, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)**

**CRM-37476-2022**

This is an application filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeking an early hearing of the main petition, which is fixed for 19.10.2022.

Notice in the application.

Learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent No.2 submit that they have no objection, if the present application is allowed.

In view of the above, the present application is allowed. The main petition i.e. CRM-M-993-2022 is preponed from 19.10.2022 to that of today and the same is taken on the Board for hearing.

**CRM-M-993-2022**

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.204 dated 19.04.2017, registered under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, at Police Station Bhiwani City, District Bhiwani, along

with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 08.07.2021 (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 02.02.2022 passed by this Court, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate had been directed to record the statements of the parties with regard to the genuineness and authenticity of the compromise.

In compliance thereof, learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Bhiwani, has submitted a report, vide letter dated 21.04.2022, indicating that the parties had appeared before the Magistrate and got recorded their respective statements with regard to the validity of the compromise. As per the report, all the accused, namely, Jitender @ Jasmeet, Kulwinder Kaur, Parampreet, Sukhwinder, Sandeep and Jagmeet were declared proclaimed offenders, vide orders dated 29.10.2018 passed by learned CJM, Bhiwani, and a separate FIR bearing No.822/18, under Section 174-A IPC, Police Station City Bhiwani, was also registered. It further reveals that three accused, namely, Sukhwinder, Sandeep (petitioner) and Jagmeet were arrested later on and challan was presented against them. However, remaining three accused, namely, Jitender @ Jasmeet, Kulwinder Kaur and Parampreet are yet to be arrested.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The above-noted FIR was got registered by respondent No.2. The petitioner seeks quashing of the same on the basis of compromise, which has been effected between him (one of the accused), and respondent No.2. A perusal of the report of trial Court would show that out of six accused who were declared proclaimed offenders, three accused are yet to be arrested. Moreover, FIR bearing No.822/18, under Section 174-A IPC, Police Station City Bhiwani, was also registered against the accused.

In view of the above, this Court finds that no case for quashing of the present FIR, on the basis of compromise, is made out.

Dismissed.

11.10.2022  
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)  
JUDGE

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |