

201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-459-2007 (O&M)
Date of Decision :30.08.2022

Krishan Chand and others ...Petitioners

versus

R.S. Gujral and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.S. Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, Asstt. AG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel states that order Annexure P-4 was passed pursuant to order Annexure P-3 dated 15.12.2005 in CWP No.19475 of 2005 in terms of decision Annexure P-2 dated 23.09.2005 in CWP No.7446 of 2003 but in view of the decision in Annexure P-2 having been set aside by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.9008-9009 of 2012 in case titled as **State of Haryana and others versus Ishwar Singh**, the instant petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

2. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

3. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

30.08.2022

ps