

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**203**

**CRM-M-1187-2021 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 28.04.2022**

**Dayal Singh**

....Petitioner

Versus

**State of Punjab**

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

----

**Present:** Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner after arguing at length, when the Court was not inclined to grant concession of bail, prays for withdrawal of instant petition with further submission that since petitioner is languishing in custody w.e.f. 24.09.2019 and especially the fact that trial of the case is going at snail's pace, Trial Court may be asked to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

Faced with this situation, learned State counsel submits that out of total nine (09) witnesses, one (01) witness has been examined, whereas two (02) witnesses have been examined partly. He further submits that earnest and sincere efforts shall be made at the instance of Prosecuting Agency to examine the remainder of prosecution witnesses and that too while seeking short adjournments.

In view of above, instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Petitioner is languishing in custody since 24.09.2019 and speedy trial is a fundamental right implicit in the guarantee of life and personal liberty enshrined in Article 21 of the Constitution of India, Trial Court is directed to make earnest and sincere efforts to examine the remainder of prosecution witnesses by giving short adjournments and it is desired that trial of the case is concluded within four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

**(LALIT BATRA)**  
**JUDGE**

**28.04.2022**

*Varinder Prashad*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No