

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(114)

**CRWP No.154 of 2022
Date of Decision : 10.01.2022**

Poonam Rani

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Neeraj Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though the petitioner is seeking a direction from this Court to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect her life and liberty in the petition but actually the grievance of the petitioner is that she has been thrown out of her matrimonial home by her inlaws for which the petitioner had already filed a complaint before the appropriate authority but no action has been taken so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said grievance has already been brought to the notice of not only the SHO, Police Station Gidderbaha by representation dated 29.11.2021 (Annexure P-2) but also to the notice of SSP, Sri Muktsar Sahib by representation dated 29.11.2021 (Annexure P-1), which representations are still pending consideration with the said authorities and the petitioner

will be satisfied in case a time bound direction be given to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 29.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) by passing an appropriate order.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab-respondents No.1 to 3.

Without making any observation on the merits of the case, a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to take appropriate decision on the representation, which the petitioner alleged to have made on 29.11.2021 (Annexure P-1), expressing apprehension about danger to her life and liberty at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9 within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. In case any merit is found in the representation, the appropriate action will be taken by respondent No.2 to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of the private respondents.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

It will be appreciated that before deciding the representation, the persons, who are likely to be effected, be also heard.

January 10, 2022
jt

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*