

COCN-105-2021

Date of Decision : 24.05.2022

Surjeet Kaur

...Petitioner

versus

Sarvjit Singh and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Pohul Tiwana, Advocate for
Mr. J.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 06.10.2020, in CWP-19070-2015.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-19070-2015, was allowed vide order dated 06.10.2020, with the following directions:-

(i) date of regularization of the petitioner shall be 08.11.2012 i.e. when the other similarly situated persons including some of the juniors of the petitioner were regularized vide Annexure P-3-A.

(ii) Respondent State shall fix full pension of the petitioner and re-calculate the pension of the petitioner and pay the arrears of all the admissible benefits to the petitioner arising out of regularization of service of the petitioner within a period of four weeks from today alongwith interest @6% per annum from the date when the benefit became due.

(iii) petitioner shall also be entitled for costs of Rs.25,000/- which shall be paid by the respondent department to the petitioner by way of demand draft.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that benefits as per entitlement in terms of order Annexure P/1 having been released to the petitioner, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

24.05.2022

'rajesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No