

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

CRM-M-618-2022

Date of Decision: 05.07.2022

Khushal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to take appropriate action against the private respondents in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.242 dated 03.09.2021 at Police Station Sadar Fazilka, District Fazilka, under Sections 325/323/34 IPC.
2. Vide order dated 20.01.2022, the State was directed to file a status report. In pursuance of the said direction, status report by way of an affidavit of Shri Jora Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Fazilka, has been filed. Paragraphs 5 & 6 of the said affidavit read as under:
 - “5. That after registration of the above noted FIR, accused Wazir Singh, Sona Singh, Gurnam Singh, Raj Singh and Pappu Singh were arrested on 09.01.2022 and co-accused Shanku Singh was arrested on 11.02.2022. Investigation of the case is still underway.
 6. That the application No.4985-SPL-PC-08-09-21 of present petitioner, application No.5126-SPL-PC dated 13.09.2021 of Balveer Kaur wife of Amar Singh, application No.5125-SPL-PC dated 13.09.2021 of Ajub

Singh son of Milkha Singh, application No.5124-SPL-PC dated 13.09.2021 of Manjeet Kaur wife of Wazir Singh and application No.5114-SPL-PC dated 11.09.2021 of Nimmo Bai wife of Raj Singh, were got inquired into through Hardev Singh, ASI, Incharge, Police Post Ladhuka Mandi (P.S.Sadar, Fazilka) and after thorough probe, inquiry report dated 10.02.2022 was submitted which is pending for approval. Vide said inquiry report, accused Wazir Singh, Pappu Singh, Shanku Singh and Raj Singh were found guilty of committing said offences, whereas accused Sona Singh and Gurnam Singh aforesaid were found innocent. Thus, the representation of the petitioner has stand decided after thorough inquiry.”

3. A perusal of the paragraphs reproduced above would show that necessary steps are being taken by the police.
4. In view of the aforestated position, this Court finds that there is no occasion at this stage to issue any direction. The petition, as such, is disposed of.
5. Since learned State counsel has informed that challan has not been presented so far, it shall be open to the petitioner to furnish any document/audio recording/video recording to the investigating agency within a period of 15 days from today. In case, any such document/audio recording/video recording is furnished by the petitioner, the investigating agency shall duly consider the same before presenting challan.

05.07.2022

Vimal

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**