

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

229

**CRM-M-2300-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.03.2022**

Jasbir @ Jassi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Hardeep Singh Kasan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Learned State counsel points out that the petitioner was arrested on the basis of his mobile call details and tower locations and the recovery of one country made pistol and currency notes has already been effected from him. He is involved in two other cases.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not deny the fact the petitioner is involved in two other cases, thus, this Court finds that the petitioner is not entitled to any indulgence.

Faced with the situation that the Court was not inclined to grant any relief to the petitioner as prayed for, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the petition, at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn, at this stage.

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

22.03.2022
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No