

105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-27-2020

Date of Decision :06.09.2022

HARPAL SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

RAJIV RATTAN

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Vibhor Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Prabhjot Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 22.01.2019 in CWP No.12632 of 2014.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 22.01.2019 reveals that CWP No.12632 of 2014 was allowed and the respondents therein directed to regularize the services of the petitioner with effect from the date when Rajesh Kumar was granted the benefit of regularization along with all consequential pecuniary benefits plus interest @ 9% per annum with needful to be done within four months of 22.01.2019.

[3] Learned counsel appearing for the respondent has produced copy of order dated 15.01.2020 passed by Hon'ble the Division Bench in LPA No.59 of 2020 issuing notice of motion and further observing that in the meantime, no coercive steps be taken for enforcing the orders passed by the learned Single Judge. The same is taken on record. Copy supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner. The LPA is stated to be

now listed for hearing on 03.11.2022.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition at this stage but prays for liberty to move an application for revival of the instant petition on order dated 15.01.2020 in LPA No.59 of 2020 being vacated or the LPA being dismissed, whichever is earlier.

[5] The same is not opposed to by learned counsel for the respondent.

[6] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above as well as the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 at this stage while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

[7] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

06.09.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No