

210

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1200-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision:25.05.2022

Naveen

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure to seek anticipatory bail in a case FIR No.142 dated 09.07.2020 registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Bhondsi, Gurugram, who apprehends his arrest at the hands of Police.

On 13.01.2022, this Court had passed the following order:

“Learned counsel has argued that as per complainant, her property was sold by way of impersonation and the petitioner is not the beneficiary of the said transaction. According to him, the petitioner is neither named in the FIR nor any specific role has been attributed to him, who has been implicated in February, 2021 on the basis of disclosure statement made by co-accused Sakeel Ahmed @ Sameer, who has been released on regular bail vide order dated 11.11.2021 (Annexure P-8). He submits that the petitioner is being implicated only on the strength of criminal conspiracy, as he had facilitated the fictitious sale by arranging the purchasers etc. According to him, the case of the prosecution is based upon documentary material, therefore, at this stage, his

custodial interrogation may not be necessary.

Notice of motion for 25.05.2022.

At this stage, Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate appears on behalf of the complainant.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, who is instructed by SI Lakhpat Singh states that indeed the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 13.01.2022 is made absolute.

25.05.2022
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No