

[111] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.48 of 2020
Date of Decision :12.10.2022

Dr. A.P. Sodhi ...Petitioner

versus

Rajeev Arora and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Suchi Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 09.09.2019, in CWP No.24798 of 2019 in case titled as 'A.P. SodhiversusState of Haryana and others'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.24798 of 2019 was disposed of by directing the respondents to decide representation dated 19.06.2019 filed by the petitioner by passing a speaking order within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] At the outset, learned DAG, Haryana, refers to (Annexure R-2/1) dated 12.02.2020 i.e. copy of the speaking order, rejecting the claim of the petitioner as contained in representation dated 19.06.2019. Copy thereof is stated to have been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in view of order (Annexure R-2/1), the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same as

pursuant to speaking order (Annexure R-2/1), the petitioner has deposited the amount on account of the laptop (which is claimed by the petitioner to have been stolen from him) whereafter pension of the petitioner has been restored.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

12.10.2022

'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>