

Taranjit Kaur and another

...Petitioners

versus

Dr. Manjit Singh Nijjar

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 07.05.2019, in CWP-9882-2019.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-9882-2019, was disposed of by directing the respondent to examine the claim of the petitioners against the backdrop of legal notice dated 15.01.2019, expeditiously and in any case within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and to communicate final decision taken in respect thereto to the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent has filed affidavit of Prof. Devinder Pal Singh, Registrar, Punjabi University, Patiala, along with Annexure A/1 dated 12.06.2020. Learned counsel for the respondent refers to order Annexure A/1 dated 12.06.2020 as also to paragraph No.3 of the affidavit to contend that petitioner No.1 has been paid Rs.2,36,645/-

while petitioner No.2 has been paid Rs.2,11,384/- and that in view of

claim of the petitioner as contained in legal notice dated 15.01.2019, having been decided, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is called for against the respondent. Copy of reply is stated to have been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. Despite the case having been passed over in the pre-lunch session on account of none being present on behalf of the petitioner, none is present on behalf of the petitioner even in the post-lunch session. In view of the position noted above, it appears that the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the instant petition.

5. Accordingly, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioners to move an application for revival of the contempt petition, if cause survives.

6. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

18.08.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No