

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(209)

CRM-M-1107-2022

Date of decision: - 19.05.2022

Manish Kumar @ Monu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Ms. Lovinder Kaur Brar, Advocate
for Mr. Sandeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

The present first petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.820 dated 12.11.2020, under Sections 379-B, 392, 397 and 34 IPC and Sections 25-54-59 of the Arms Act (Section 201 IPC has been added later on), registered at Police Station Rohtak City, District Rohtak.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Vikas Kumar, has pointed out that in the present case, the complainant has not been examined as yet.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the above, seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition, at this stage, with liberty to file fresh petition after the complainant in the

present case is examined.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn, as prayed for, with liberty to file fresh petition after the complainant is examined.

It is made clear that the second petition for regular bail, if any filed by the petitioner, would be considered independently on its merit, in accordance with law.

May 19, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No