

COCP-1849-2018

Date of Decision :08.08.2022

P.K. Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

A. VenuParsad and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. M.S. Batth, Advocate for respondent No.2.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 24.10.2017 in CWP No.21989 of 2012 in case titled as Amrinder Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab and Ors.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.21989 of 2012 was disposed of in view of the statement of counsel for the respondent-Trust that a resolution had been passed by the Trust on 17.09.2017 whereby it had been decided to carry out various development works of the Society. It was further observed that the Court had hope and trust that the matter would be supervised by the Local Government Department.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has died but as per paragraph Nos.4 and 5 of the reply, the Trust after getting approval from the Government had invited e-tenders for the development of parks and re-carpeting of roads, whereafter tender was allotted on 11.06.2018 and pursuant thereto roads had been re-carpeted/laid and even the marking had been done with white paint on

theroad, street lights had been erected at the site and parks had also been well-maintained by the Trust, besides, Trust had also taken care of the beautification of the society pursuant to the resolution approved by the Government.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the son of the petitioner on being contacted had informed him that he did not wish to pursue the instant petition any further as needful had been done and further that on the basis of reply, he was also satisfied that the needful had been done in terms of order, Annexure P/1 dated 24.10.2017.

[5] I have considered the position noted above as well as the submissions of learned counsel.

[6] Admittedly, needful has been done in terms of order, Annexure P/1 dated 24.10.2017 in CWP No.21989 of 2012 and as per reply necessary work, i.e. re-carpeting of the roads, maintenance of parks and erection of street lights, besides, beautification of the society has been carried out. In the circumstances, no further action is called for against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[7] Contempt petition is disposed of as such.

[8] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

08.08.2022

'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>