

**246 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-391-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.04.2022**

UJJWAL KUMAR

.....Petitioner

vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

**Present:- Mr. P. K Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Arun Kaundal, DAG, Punjab.

**Mr. Kartikya, Advocate
for respondent No.3.**

**Mr. Shivam Malik, Advocate
for respondent No.5.**

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that writ petition has been rendered infructuous as the grievance of the petitioner has since been redressed.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

**06.04.2022
Amandeep**

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned ?

Yes/No

Whether referred to Reporters ?

Yes/No