

[223] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.114 of 2020
Date of Decision :14.11.2022

Rajesh Kumar Arora ...Petitioner

versus

Anurag Aggarwal, IAS and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms.Vibhum, Advocate for
Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 25.03.2019, in CWP No.21543 of 2015 in case titled as '**Dr. Rajesh Kumar Arora**versus**State of Punjab and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.21543 of 2015 was disposed of vide order dated 25.03.2019 by taking note of the fact that a sum of Rs.36,91,319/- had been paid to the petitioner towards full and final settlement of the claim, by the respondents with liberty to the petitioner to file a representation before the respondents with regard to payment of interest and the competent authority was directed to consider and decide the same by passing a detailed speaking order within 08 weeks.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the claim of the petitioner in terms of order (Annexure P-1) dated 25.03.2019

has been decided vide order dated 07.01.2021 and payment as per entitlement towards interest amounting to Rs.1,84,870/- released to the petitioner.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant petition.

[5] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[6] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

14.11.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>