

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.1116 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision.14.01.2022
(Heard through VC)**

Karamjeet Kaur alias Karmo

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Ashish Pannu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

-.-

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

This is a petition that has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.553 dated 08.11.2021 under Sections 380, 457 IPC (Section 411 IPC added later on) at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the said FIR only on the basis of a disclosure statement. It is alleged that the FIR was registered for alleged theft of gold and silver ornaments from the house of complainant-Rakesh Kumar and the only allegation against the petitioner herein is that she helped in conversion of the said gold articles into gold bricks. Recovery of the said gold bricks has been effected from the co-accused Akash, Ravi and Vicky. It is submitted that the matter has been investigated and the challan stands presented and therefore, the petitioner would be entitled to regular bail as she would not be in a position to influence the investigation and the

witnesses.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State opposes grant of regular bail to the petitioner, however, he does not dispute the fact that the petitioner herein has been nominated as an accused on the basis of a disclosure made by the co-accused and that the challan stands presented.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has been nominated as an accused on the basis of a disclosure statement made by the co-accused and the investigation has been completed and challan stands presented and the fact that trial is likely to take some time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on her execution of adequate personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of concerned trial court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression on merits of the case.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

January 14, 2022
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No